

## UNITED STANDARD EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO C 960-25 09/12/97 LOCHT 08/765,287 **EXAMINER** HM12/0214 DEVI,S NIXON & VANDERHYE PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR 1645 ARLINGTON VA 22201 DATE MAILED: 02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/765,287

	······································			
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	AL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			<del></del>	
		EXAMINER		
		***************************************		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			92	
			વડ	
	1			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

	•
□ TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🔀	is extended to run 4 would accordingles to run from the date of the final rejection
ь) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed $01.26.01$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: New claims 40841 added in lieu of old claims If and 17 are not identical in scope with old claims and thus require guesthes consideration and/or a new search.
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. 🕱	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment $\square$ will be entered $\boxtimes$ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed: Nove Claims objected to: Nove Claims rejected: 1-32, 27-30, 34, 35, 38 and 39.  However:  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The	proposed drawing correction    has    has not been approved by the examiner.
Oth	er S. Devi
	Patent Examiner Feb. 2001.